

## **Appendix 2A**

### **Existing Condition Flood Risk Summary Table**

**Appendix Table 2A: Existing Condition Flood Risk Summary Table**

County	Area in Flood Planning Region (sq. mi.)	1% Annual Chance Flood Risk										0.2% Annual Chance Flood Risk							
		Area in Floodplain (sq. mi.)	Number of Structures in Floodplain	Residential Structures in Floodplain	Population (daytime)	Population (nighttime)	Population	Roadway Stream Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sq. mi.)	Critical Facilities (#)	Area in Floodplain (sq. mi.)	Number of Structures in Floodplain	Residential Structures in Floodplain	Population	Roadway Stream Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sq. mi.)	Critical Facilities (#)
Andrews	269	85	9	0	41	30	41	0	8	18	0	105	10	0	43	0	10	23	0
Brewster	6,171	1,238	2,640	1,615	4,943	7,217	7,217	81	210	43	7	1,408	2,991	1,828	8,055	97	240	49	7
Crane	782	227	277	0	293	591	591	7	41	3	1	301	371	0	780	7	60	4	3
Crockett	2,720	527	1,292	680	1,027	2,392	2,392	80	187	7	8	580	1,461	763	2,688	119	211	7	8
Culberson	3,799	843	567	115	362	1,382	1,382	90	317	32	0	959	654	147	1,565	107	350	36	0
Ector	282	63	340	234	346	606	606	0	26	0.4	0	80	440	314	758	2	35	1	0
Edwards	444	91	58	27	5	127	127	11	19	0.3	0	97	66	28	145	13	20	0.3	0
El Paso	1,010	179	21,377	16,860	68,858	70,260	70,260	457	458	61	37	245	29,827	23,276	102,805	702	607	76	61
Hudspeth	4,550	937	823	44	1,002	1,629	1,629	70	288	246	2	1,155	916	46	1,834	108	319	306	2
Jeff Davis	2,254	395	660	135	720	1,431	1,431	53	63	53	1	455	777	152	1,686	59	74	62	1
Loving	674	167	95	2	25	291	291	3	17	4	1	211	152	7	465	3	26	6	1
Midland	7	2	7	2	2	20	20	0	3	0.004	0	3	14	8	39	0	4	0.004	0
Pecos	4,744	1,055	1,040	370	2,713	3,424	3,424	182	284	47	9	1,311	1,506	617	4,626	213	385	58	13
Presidio	3,841	734	1,353	696	1,081	2,973	2,973	101	122	45	0	848	1,625	834	3,491	125	146	53	1
Reagan	83	11	2	0	0	3	3	1	0.01	0.01	0	13	2	0	3	1	0.01	0.02	0
Reeves	2,632	717	3,535	1,580	6,287	10,707	10,707	72	337	18	10	955	4,709	2,053	14,512	110	470	27	12
Schleicher	332	50	33	5	6	73	73	29	5	4	0	58	40	5	94	0	7	5	0
Sutton	798	154	963	492	1,336	1,562	1,562	0	96	2	5	165	1,136	592	1,881	58	105	2	6
Terrell	2,349	453	391	146	149	945	945	50	51	3	2	503	496	189	1,191	63	62	3	2
Upton	759	140	331	185	388	599	599	21	28	1	3	166	644	383	1,161	23	44	2	5
Val Verde	2,871	656	577	147	102	1,393	1,393	38	163	22	0	700	672	162	1,628	55	177	23	0
Ward	833	281	2,071	470	2,508	4,189	4,189	30	196	4	4	351	3,202	764	6,341	59	269	5	7
Winkler	827	281	1,680	1,126	2,101	3,675	3,675	1	126	3	4	372	2,700	1,869	5,964	1	174	3	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>43,031</b>	<b>9,285</b>	<b>40,121</b>	<b>24,931</b>	<b>94,295</b>	<b>115,519</b>	<b>115,530</b>	<b>1,377</b>	<b>3,047</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>11,040</b>	<b>54,411</b>	<b>34,037</b>	<b>161,755</b>	<b>1,925</b>	<b>3,792</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>135</b>

Appendix Table 2A: Existing Condition Flood Risk Summary Table (Continued)

County	Possible Flood Prone Areas*								Average SVI of features in floodplain or flood prone areas
	Area (sq. mi.)	Number of Structures in Flood Prone Area	Residential Structures in Flood Prone Area	Population	Roadway Stream Crossings (#)	Roadways Segments (miles)	Agricultural Areas (sq. mi.)	Critical Facilities (#)	
Andrews	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.234
Brewster	0.3	151	134	404	2	5	0.001	0	0.515
Crane	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.559
Crockett	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.607
Culberson	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.935
Ector	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.593
Edwards	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.470
El Paso	18	10,961	8,970	67,082	46	345	8	17	0.665
Hudspeth	136	906	56	2,585	93	2	31	2	0.932
Jeff Davis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.408
Loving	1	9	0	25	2	0	0.01	0	0.502
Midland	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.664
Pecos	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.502
Presidio	4	53	43	138	3	1	0.1	0	0.916
Reagan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.558
Reeves	1	45	12	107	1	0.4	0.01	0	0.646
Schleicher	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.534
Sutton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.651
Terrell	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.453
Upton	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.539
Val Verde	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.549
Ward	1	268	0	695	0	0	0.001	0	0.531
Winkler	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.555
Total	161	12,393	9,215	71,036	147	353	39	19	

\*Note: Areas defined as “possible flood prone areas” in the RFP were developed based on community feedback and have an unknown flood frequency. As a result, the flood exposure results for “possible flood prone areas” are the same in both Appendix Table 2A (Existing Condition Flood Risk) and Appendix Table 2B (Future Condition Flood Risk).